

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/628,383	SOLORIO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	N. Bhat	1764	

All Participants:

(1) N. Bhat.

(2) D. Wallace.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 12 May 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

112, 2nd and possibly and art rejection

Claims discussed:

25

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner discussed with applicant that the preamble was accorded no patentable weight. Applicant was encouraged to include the term --creamer-- to provide weight and breath life and meaning in to the preamble, so that the composition would not read on other compositions including an emulsifier, stabilizer, sweetner and fat such as peanut butter. The examiner discussed with applicant that the term "creamer" be added because it is a known term of the art, it has support in the specification and that the water based creamer including the creamer, emulsifier, stabilizer, sweetener ad fat as claimed by applicant is free of the prior art. Applicant's attorney authorized the addition of "creamer" into the claim by examiner's amendment.